

## Appendix

### Summary of Consultations on Conservation Area Design Guidance (incorporating Character Appraisal and Management Proposals including Boundaries Revisions)

Consultee	Nature	Representation	Assessment	Recommendation Agreed by The Conservation Advisory Group
1. Teversham Parish Council	Support and Comment	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Parish Council support the proposal to include Allens Farmhouse and Always Barn into a revised Conservation Area.</li> <li>2. The Parish Council support the proposal to include No 6 and No 8 High Street into a revised Conservation Area (though a minority of members thought No 8 to be of no architectural significance and it should not be included).</li> <li>3. The Parish Council agreed that there was no longer a need to retain Lady Jermy Way within the Conservation Area. However, the Parish Council wish to retain those houses fronting Church Road within the Conservation Area as that is the main entrance into the village and as the north side of this road is within the Conservation Area these houses impact on it.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Noted</li> <li>2. Noted</li> <li>3. There is some logic to the suggested retention of the dwellings fronting Church Road within the Conservation Area, though even if they were removed, PPG 15 and the policies in the Local Plan would still allow consideration of the impact on the adjacent Conservation Area to be considered as part of consideration of any planning application in this area. If these properties are to be retained within the Conservation Area, I would recommend that only the front gardens and the dwellings are included, leaving the erection of sheds etc in</li> </ol>	Adjust boundary for the revised Conservation Area to retain the front gardens and dwellings (but not rear gardens) of 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33 Church Road and 1 Lady Jermy Way within the Conservation Area.

			the rear gardens free of Conservation Area restrictions.	
2. Dr H Harris 8 High Street	Objection to inclusion of No 8 High Street in revised Conservation Area	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The house is not excessively old (just over 100 years), it is not typical of the street scene and the frontage has already been modernized.</li> <li>2. The pan-tiled garage suffers from flooding and the foundations are being affected. It may be necessary to undertake structural operations that may affect the frontage.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. While a house of this age may not be considered for listing, it is of local interest and is a similar age to other Victorian buildings already in the Conservation Area. The loss of original windows etc on the front elevation are to be regretted, but these might be reinstated at some stage in the future.</li> <li>2. Including the house and adjacent garage in a revised conservation area will not prevent repairs and appropriate re-building form being undertaken (subject to obtaining the necessary permissions).</li> </ol>	No change
3. K A Hardy 1 Thulborn Close	Comment	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There is a negative vista from Thulborn Close to the Teversham Garage.</li> <li>2. Request that the Council issues a development brief for the garage and shop site to encourage appropriate redevelopment.</li> <li>3. The poor floorscape to the public house car park could be addressed by landscaping of the</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The garage has been identified as a negative building and therefore all views of it will be negative.</li> <li>2. This garage and shop area outside of the Conservation Area and the drafting of a development brief for this</li> </ol>	No change

		boundary.	<p>site is beyond the scope of this document.</p> <p>3. There are a number of ways in which the public house carpark might be improved, and these include landscaping and boundary treatment.</p>	
4. Jonathan Barker Alwyns Barn, 10 Church St	Objection	<p><i>'I refer to the proposal to include my house in an expanded Teversham Conservation Area. I object to this as I do not believe that the area is of sufficient quality to meet the criteria for a Conservation Area.</i></p> <p><i>There are two unattractive industrial complexes within the area and a garage adjacent to it. The only attractive building within the area is the Church. However a Church on its own does not in my opinion justify an area being designated as a conservation area, as on this basis, nearly every village within South Cambs would have a conservation area.</i></p> <p><i>I do agree that the Lady Jermy Way housing estate does not justify conservation area status, although it is an attractive modern housing estate. However, its removal does compromise the continuation of the Teversham Conservation Area.....I would therefore request an overall review of the Teversham Conservation Area as well as</i></p>	<p>Mr Barker would appear to be suggesting that aside from the church there are no buildings of sufficient interest to merit a Conservation Area in Teversham, but this is contrary to the conclusions reached in the appraisal.</p> <p>The recommendation to include 10 Church Road has come from an overall review of the Teversham Conservation Area and this review reach the conclusion that 10 Church Road is a building of local interest that merits inclusion in the Conservation Area.</p> <p>The removal of Lady Jermy Way from the Conservation does not compromise the continuation of the Teversham Conservation Areas.</p>	No change

		<i>the recommendation to include both 8 and 10 Church Road within this area.'</i>		
--	--	---	--	--